Interview Summary	Application N	olication No. Applicant(s)		
	10/631,291		WEIMKEN ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Mahmoud Gir	nie	3747	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):			
(1) Mahmoud Gimie, Primary Examiner.	(3)			
(2) Michael McNeil, Attorney.	(4)			
Date of Interview: 22 August 2005.				
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	2) <mark> applicant</mark> '	s representative	]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)□ No.			
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1 and 15</u> .				
Identification of prior art discussed: Crofts et al (US 6,837,221).				
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g	)∐ was not re	eached. h)∐ N	/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>Discussed proposed amethe current application over the prior art</u> .	nature of wha endment to cla	t was agreed to ims 1 and 15 th	if an agreement at structurally dis	was stinguishes
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	opy of the ame	he examiner agr endments that w	reed would rende ould render the	er the claims claims
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse significant control of the c	last Office ac THE MAILING OF THE SUBS	tion has already BDATE OF THIS STANCE OF TH	been filed, APP INTERVIEW S	LICANT IS UMMARY
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	1	Examiner's signa	ature, if required	